PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:					PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1)				
				,	FCT Nule 43DIS.1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
	cant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2							
	International application No. International filing d PCT/EP2004/014198 14.12.2004			day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.12.2003			
Interr	national Patent Clas	sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC				
	G3/02, A23G1/2	0, A23G9/20, /	A23G9/28					
Appli	icant STEC S.A.							
	This eminion of	entoino indicati	ons relating to the foll	lowing items:				
1.				oming name.				
	Box No. I	Basis of the o	plnion					
	☐ Box No. II	Priority		and to povelty inven	tive step and industrial applicability			
	☐ Box No. III			ard to novelly, lilven	ave step and modalital appressionly			
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity	of invention	- 1 (-1/i) with regard	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
	Box No. V	Reasoned sta applicability; o	itations and explanation	s supporting such st	atement			
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	nents cited					
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defect	ts in the international ap	plication				
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain obser	vations on the internatio	nal application				
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION						
	written opinion of the applicant of International Bu will not be so co	of the Internation looses an Autho lireau under Rule onsidered.	nal Preliminary Examinir rity other than this one t e 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written	o be the IPEA and the opinions of this Inter	vill usually be considered to be a . However, this does not apply where he chosen IPEA has notified the national Searching Authority			
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	whichever expir		OTACA POR					
	For further option	ons, see Form P	CINSAUZZU.					
3.	For further option		Form PCT/ISA/220.					
	For further option	ills, see notes to		Authorized Officer	, mercen			
	For further optic	ills, see notes to		Authorized Officer	<u></u>			

_		x No					
1.	Wit	Vith regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		lar (ur	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ider Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	Wit	h re	n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. t	уре	of material:				
			a sequence listing				
			table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:						
			in written format				
			in computer readable form				
	c. 1	c. time of filling/furnishing:					
			contained in the international application as filed.				
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3		h	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating therefore as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pipes is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as proporpiate, were furnished.				

4. Additional comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-18 No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-18

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/EP2004/014198

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- The following documents (D1 and D2) are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:
 - D1: US-A-2 013 016 (VOGT CLARENCE W) 3 September 1935 (1935-09-03)
 - D2: EP-A-0 221 369 (HAMMERLE MARTIN) 13 May 1987 (1987-05-13)
- The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 52(1) EPC, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 10 and 18 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
 - a.) From D2, which is considered as representing the closest available prior art with respect to the subject-matter of claim 1, a depositing device is known comprising a chamber from which a food material can be deposited by the action of a piston. The outlet of said chamber is closed by a ball valve that is blased closed by a spring (see Fig. 1; page 6, lines 1-10). The pressure in the chamber before dosing is the same as in the feeding hopper.

The problem to be solved is to modify the device known from D2 such that it can be used to metre out aerated food materials, e.g. ice cream.

From D1 a depositing device is known in which an ice cream mix, that is aerated is frozen in a freezing chamber, that is pressurised utilising a pressure retention valve. This is used to control the foam texture and thus the overall structure of the ice cream product. The depositing mechanism utilised in D1, however, is rather complicated, while the one known from D2 is compact and provided with excellent cleanability.

In order to design a suitable depositing device the man skilled in the art would thus consider the obvious combination of D1 and D2, and thus arrive at a device as defined in claim 1.

b.) Accordingly, the incorporation of the dosing spout known from D2 into the machine disclosed in D1 the man skilled in the art would arrive at a device for producing food as defined in claim 10, and at a method as defined in claim 18.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014198

 Dependent claims 2-9 and 11-17 are only allowable when depending on an allowable independent claim.